On March 23, 2021 the container ship 'Evergreen' owned by 'Evergiven', the front name for the company owned by the Clinton Foundation and Walmart, ran aground in the Suez canal, blocking 12% of the world's trade.



URI GELLER GETS INVOLVED

ONLY THE TABLOID MEDIA, NOT THE S0-CALLED 'SERIOUS' MAINSTREAM MEDIA, PAYS ANY ATTENTION

WHO IS <u>URI GELLER</u>? One of the most famous psychics in the world, used by the CIA and several foreign intelligence services, and whose extensive paranormal powers were validated by the Stanford Research Institute.







theurigeller My dear friends! Say what you want! We moved the ship!!!!!! A bit, but she moved! It's breaking news! I believe in my powers and I believe in yours!!!!

1920

#urigeller #urigellermuseum #ship #power #mindpower #energy #positivethinking #thepowerofthemind #news #believeinyourself #powerful

100





theurigeller • Following

.

•

.

theurigeller Friends! This is today's front page headline. Keep up the good work. Focus every day at 11:11am and 11:11pm send your energy to free the ship. Help the tug boats too.

...

#suezcanal #evergiven #evergivenship #suez #mindpower #mindovermatter #stuckship #1111 #positivethinking #positivevibes #beleive





URI GELLER'S HOMETOWN PRESS GIVES HIM A SHOUT OUT



HERE IS THE INCREDIBLE PROOF OF WHAT HAPPENED



ISRAELI PRIME MINISTER BENJAMIN NETANYAHU VALIDATES URI GELLER'S PARANORMAL ABILITIES

Images from Uri Geller's Instagram Page Disclaimer: Uri Geller personally bent a spoon with his mind for my wife and myself at his house in London. Tony Craddock, Web Administrator



PHYSICS CONCEPTUALIST <u>TOM BEARDEN</u> EXPLAINS THE SCIENCE BEHIND URI GELLER'S ABILITIES IN HIS BOOK <u>EXCALIBUR BRIEFING</u>



PART TWO

A THEORETICAL BACKGROUND FOR UNDERSTANDING PT, UFO'S, AND PSI PHENOMENA

Psychotronics, unidentified flying objects (UFO's), and paranormal phenomena such as psychokinesis, telepathy, and precognition all have one thing in common: both mind and matter-energy are involved.

Hitherto, mainstream science has insisted on a rather arbitrary separation of mind and matter-energy. However, as we shall see, this separation is not based on good observation. For example, everyone exhibits in himself the ability of mind to affect matter. While theories of computers, control systems, and physics can explain human behavior once the physical system has been given an input signal, the original signal - intent, or what I call inception - is an unexplained mystery to ordinary science.

"Psychotronics" (a Czechoslovakian term) and "psychoenergetics" (a Soviet term) have been specifically designed to provide a framework for approaching the problems of mind, matter, and their interaction. If we can understand psychotronics, we will be able to understand better the interaction of mind and matter, including all paranormal

phenomena, unidentified flying objects, and Fortean phenomena.

Let us therefore start by defining psychotronics. The prefix "psycho" refers to the mind. The suffix "tronics" refers to physics and physical devices. Thus the concept of psychotronics refers to a union of physics and metaphysics. Such an audacious concept can offer a solution to almost every present problem in meta physics, the foundations of logic, the foundations of physics, and the foundations of mathematics.

To begin with, it seems necessary to form a unified theory of mind, matter, and their interaction. It also seems necessary to reinterpret and extend electromagnetic theory. Logic itself must be advanced, for a part of reality, although "illogical" according to our present logic, is nonetheless true. In metaphysics we must solve formidable problems: the ontological problem (nature of being); the problem of mind, and the interaction of mind and body; the problem of change; and the problem of nothing.

It has been said that fools rush in where angels fear to tread. To tackle the problems of psychotronics demands the audacity to rush in where even fools fear to tread!

At this time, it may be appropriate to point out that all Western science, mathematics, and logic are founded upon three simple laws of logic, proposed and formulated by Aristotle. Since the entire universe cannot be described by these three laws - parts of reality are known to violate one or more of them and hence be illogical though true - it appears that we must be audacious enough to tackle and change the three laws of logic, if a new paradigm is to be constructed to solve all the presently unsolved problems.

SOME UNEXPLAINED MYSTERIES OF PHYSICS

First, no one knows what mass really is; we do not know for sure whether inertial and gravitational mass are one and the same. General relativity says it is, and we know from experiments that if any difference exists between inertial mass and gravitational mass that difference is very small indeed. But we do not know positively that there is not some small but finite difference. And we have no idea why the mass of a moving object increases with respect to a static observer. It is simple to calculate in special relativity how much the mass increases as a function of the velocity, but no one has the foggiest notion why this happens. Particularly so since an observer standing on the moving object and moving along with it sees no increase in its mass at

all.

This poses a real paradox; if we try to assign some absolute notion to the idea of mass, then any object has an infinite number of masses, all at the same time and all different. There are two ways to determine mass: (1) by its resistance to a disturbing force, and (2) by its ability to occupy three-dimensional space. The latter requires that mass be volumetric, i.e., that mass be L3 dimensionally.

But look at the weird properties of a photon! If we measure its resistance to a disturbing force, we find that no force on earth can accelerate or decelerate the photon. If we measure the mass of a photon by the first method, it appears to have infinite mass. Yet if we measure it by the second method, it can have no mass at all, because a photon is not volumetric; it is two-dimensional. It therefore has infinite mass and zero mass simultaneously.

Furthermore, we can take the viewpoint that whatever its mass is, it can only have one. If that is so, then infinite mass and zero mass must somehow be the same thing! Which contradicts the three laws of logic. However, this should not concern us too greatly; many things contradict the laws of logic and are nonetheless true. So while it is presently "illogical" for infinite mass and zero mass to be identical, we should hold on to the idea that this may very well be true.

Additionally, one of the great cornerstone assumptions in physics is that gravitational field and electric field are mutually exclusive - i.e., they are totally different things. Yet a physicist named Santilli has proved that this is not so, and that they are either partially the same thing or totally the same thing. And there the matter stands, so we do not fully understand what electric field and gravitational field are, or how to go about turning one into the other, although Santilli's work seems to imply that this is at least theoretically possible. We must therefore invoke a new concept of reality.

URI GELLER

Uri Geller has demonstrated numerous capabilities: he has affected a magnometer inside a Faraday shield; bent and broken metal objects; caused a fresh flower to wither and dry in seconds; permanently changed the crystal structure of nitinol; altered magnetic programs on computer cards; influenced a Geiger counter; de materialized matter; and demonstrated the inceptive Cyborg effect, thought-photography, telepathy, and the Geller effect (the sympathetic stimulation of a psi-positive).

It is useless to attempt to explain the feats performed by Uri Geller in terms of the ordinary fields and effects of known physics. Nothing short of a new physics paradigm will suffice. This new paradigm must encompass both mind and physics within the same theoretical framework, if it is to succeed in explaining how mind affects matter.

In terms of present physics and logic, the mind is regarded as totally separate from matter. For mind and matter to interact, some aspect of mind must be the same as some aspect of matter, i.e., to move matter, a force is required. Force is the time rate of change of momentum. To generate a force capable of moving matter, mind must be able to change momentum. But since momentum can only be obtained from something which possesses momentum to give up, then the mind must somehow possess momentum.

If this is so, then the momentum must ordinarily exist in a separate three-dimensional space, since it apparently does not ordinarily exist in laboratory three-dimensional space. Such a situation requires at least six spatial dimensions and one common time dimension, such that the mind (mental phenomena) is a set of objective physical phenomena existing in an ordinary objective three-dimensional space, but one which is

three orthogonalities away, spatially, from the laboratory three-dimensional space. Mental changes upon physical objects would thus imply orthogonal rotation of mental objects from the mental three-dimensional space into or closer to the laboratory three-dimensional space. Everett's manyworlds interpretation (MWI) of quantum mechanics contains a structure where such representation is possible, and the MWI is consistent with the entire experimental basis of modern physics. Thus from the MWI it is possible to objectively model the mind and matter, and from that, psychotronics as well.

MY APPROACH TO PSYCHOTRONICS

In twelve years of intensive work on this question, I have evolved a conceptual approach, which is consistent with present physics but extends it. A new concept of reality is involved, however, and severe demands are made on the individual to stretch his framework of comprehension. The perceptron concept is an abstraction that allows the modeling of perception itself - either mental perception or physical detection. The fourth law of logic (to be explained shortly) involves the age-old identity of opposites whose apparent necessity has baffled logicians, philosophers, and scientists for centuries. With the perceptron concept, we can at last comprehend how the identity of opposites is accomplished, and when it is accomplished. This immediately solves the age-old philosophical problem of change. The fourth law also closes logic into a complete, closed metalogic, encompassing both physics and metaphysics.

Let us use as a definition of reality: reality is that which can be conceptually and mathematically modeled and fitted to the phenomena existing in one or more minds. Physical reality is that which can be modeled and fitted to the phenomena existing in all minds. Mental reality can be modeled and fitted to the phenomena in one mind. Mental and physical realities comprise "normal" reality. Complex or "paranormal" reality is that which can be conceptually and mathematically modeled and fitted to phenomena which exist in more than one mind, but not in all minds. From perception theory I have succeeded in deriving a great deal of the present basis of physics, including Einstein's two postulates, Newton's laws, the law of gravitation, and the solution to the ontological problem. (Unknown to me at the time, Ives had already done so for Newton's laws, the law of gravitation, and Einstein's postulates. His work has unfortunately been ignored.) In addition, the photon can be seen to be an ordinary three-dimensional particle existing in a three-dimensional space that is orthogonal to the laboratory three-dimensional space frame. A stationary particle in the laboratory frame appears as a photon to the orthogonal spatial frame.

Einstein's second postulate is usually stated as "the speed of light is the same for every observer." Restated, this becomes "every photon in an inertial frame is moving at the speed of light, c, with respect to every particle in that inertial frame." The corollary then follows immediately: every particle in that inertial frame is also moving at the speed of light, c, with respect to every photon in that inertial frame. In a single three-dimensional space, this is incomprehensible. Taking two orthogonal three-dimensional spaces, with the photons in one frame and the electrons in the other, it is perfectly comprehensible. From pure nothing - empty vacuum, absence of thing - one can generate everything, the presence of thing. Here is direct proof that ultimately opposites are identical. But to a mind rigidly programmed in three-law logic, such a truth is abhorrent. Physicists consequently have done nothing with the idea that all our physical laws or at least most of the great ones - can be straightforwardly derived from a special kind of a "piece of nothing" which I call a quiton. And they have not seen that this constitutes a statement of a fourth law of logic - the identity of opposites on their common boundary.

It is interesting to note that the paper which was handed to an American reporter, Robert Toth, by a Soviet scientist, and which caused the KGB to seize Toth and charge him with receiving Soviet state secrets, contained just such a theory as the basis of a unifying theory of psychotronics. Yet none of our intelligence

analysts seems to have picked up the overwhelming importance of what was in the paper, and Toth himself appears to believe that his KGB arrest was simply harassment. In fact the paper did contain material on the basic Soviet approach to psychotronics - and thus to psychotronic weapons - and it was indeed state secrets that had been given to Toth.

Everett's many-worlds interpretation of quantum mechanics, with which very few physicists are familiar, provides a needed correction to the conventional monocular interpretation of relativity, and it allows a theoretically sound basis to be constructed for psychotronics.

The conventional interpretation of relativity considers only a single observer at a time. But if you can accept so simple a concept as that both you and I exist simultaneously, regardless of how we move with respect to each other, then I assure you that physics is startlingly different from what you may have studied in the ordinary university physics text book.

Everett, originally a student of the world-renowned physicist Dr. John Wheeler at Princeton, for his Ph.D. thesis considered the problem of multiple simultaneous observers and worked out what this did to physics. His highly innovative thesis provided a totally new interpretation of quantum physics and defined a startling new kind of reality in which all possibilities are physically real and exist. This new physics is indeed very strange, but it is totally consistent with the entire experimental basis of physics today.

I discovered that all my perception theory could be fitted precisely onto Everett's many-worlds interpretation.1 On that basis, a theory or schema of biofields was derived that provides an approach to a unified field theory. In fact, it predicts that any kind of field can be turned into any other kind of field, merely by correct and precise time synchronization. It also offers a physical and exact model of mind and mental phenomena and a mental and exact model of physical phenomena!

On this basis, a framework can be provided for psychotronics which is consistent with what we know of

ordinary physics but which does not contain many of the limitations of ordinary physics. In a strange sense Everett wrote the physics for the all-mind or the Supreme Creator's mind because an unlimited mind, so to speak, must already have thought of everything possible, and for an all-creative mind to "think" a possibility is for that possibility to be real.



Fig. 3. Reality: a paranormal bridge with two ends

REALITY AS A PARANORMAL BRIDGE WITH TWO ENDS

In Figure 3, I show the nature of the problem of paranormal phenomena. To understand it, we must first define more precisely some of the present concepts. First, what do we really mean when we talk of "physical phenomena"? To go into this, we must first destroy the notion that there exists some sort of separate, concrete reality totally apart from mind, for that notion is simply the old Cartesian assumption. It has long

been refuted as far as having any absolute validity, and this is well-known to philosophers and to foundations physicists. According to Lindsay and Margenau in their Foundations of Physics. "physics has nothing to say about a possible real world lying behind experience."